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## District Goals

Dr. Stephen Genco Superintendent of Schools

## District Mission Statement

The Jackson School District is a partnership of dedicated staff, learners and community members committed to developing the unique abilities of each student through compelling learning experiences in a safe and nurturing environment.

Our comprehensive, engaging and technology-enriched programs inspire, educate and motivate students to become independent, creative and critical thinkers who will thrive in a diverse, evolving global society.

We are resolved that through commitment to scholarship, character and initiative, our students will succeed beyond the expectations of New Jersey and Common Core Standards as they excel in their life-long pursuit of knowledge.

## Statement of Values \& Beliefs We Believe That:

- All students can learn.
- Students learn best in an atmosphere of acceptance, tolerance and mutual respect.
- All staff will set high expectations for themselves and for their students.
- Education is most successful when individual learning styles, needs, and talents are respected and utilized.
- It is our responsibility to provide an environment that fosters creativity, develops critical thinking and promotes academic and personal growth.


## Statement of Values \& Beliefs We Believe That:

- Attendance is essential to motivate students to learn.
- Current and relevant technology is an integral part of enhancing instruction.
- Offering diverse instructional opportunities in core subjects and in the fine and performing arts is essential to providing an effective and well-rounded education.
- Athletics and extra-curricular activities are valuable to a student's collective educational experience and to creating a culture of leadership, sportsmanship and initiative.
- Collaboration among highly qualified educational staff, students, families and the community will maximize the potential of all students.


## District Goals

## 2016-2017 Overall Vision Governing Principle: <br> Every area impacts ACADEMIC achievement

- Prepare for the next round of curriculum alignment
- Create a Visual \& Performing Arts Academy
- Improve and enhance facilities to create best environment for safe learning
- Identify and implement revenue-generating endeavors for the district
- Continue efforts toward transparency and openness with public and parents


# 2016-2017 GOALS: <br> CURRICULUM \& STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT 

- Implement Next Generation Standards
- Improve collaboration among staff members
- Implement STEM internships
- Review K-12 Music, Art, Social Studies and Science curriculum
- Training and transitioning to elementary standards-based report cards
- Audit of school climate/character education programs
- Evaluate implementation of full-day kindergarten and survey parents and $1^{\text {st }}$ Grade teachers


## 2016-2017 GOALS: VISUAL \& PERFORMING ARTS ACADEMY

- Establish a committee of varied stakeholders to assist in design and implementation
- Begin formulating program outline
- Visit other high school academies
- Create Program of Studies
- Communicate with middle school level parents and students about opportunities


## 2016-2017 GOALS: FACILITIES

- Update Long-Range Facilities Plan (DOE)
- Continue to prioritize and plan new projects from Architect of Record
- Continue to enhance security measures in the district; install safety vestibules
- Complete asbestos abatement, building-wide flooring replacement and other building improvements of admin (built in 1979 with asbestos flooring).
- Plan for the district's next large capital project roof replacements


## 2016-2017 GOALS: FINANCE

- Complete implementation of solar PPA to save on energy costs
- Pursue bond refunding to lower the district's debt requirement
- Pursue energy savings through behavioral modification (Energy Manager)
- Pursue alternate revenue sources


## 2016-2017 GOALS: HOME-SCHOOL CONNECTION

- Maximize use of new website features and continue to train staff
- Launch Mobile Communication App
- Enhance principals' emails with new Blackboard website/Parentlink integration features
- Broaden connections with outside communities through use of new web and communication tools.
- Continue awareness campaign on issues important to parents and staff


## NEW JERSEY'S STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

- 2016 marks the $2^{\text {nd }}$ administration of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) and the first opportunity to compare year-to-year results as the following slides will show.
- Students took PARCC English Language Arts and Literacy Assessments (ELA/L) in grades 3-11.
- Students took PARCC Mathematics Assessments in grades 3 8 and End of Course Assessments in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II.


## PARCC PERFORMANCE LEVELS

■ Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations

■ Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations

■ Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations

■ Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations

■ Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations

## PARCC RESULTS: <br> SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 ADMINISTRATIONS

Measuring
College and
Career Readiness

## JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT October 2016

## PARCC Results

## English Language Arts

Mrs. Theresa Licitra Director of Curriculum, Humanities ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | \% Change in Level 1 <br> and Level 2 |  | \% Change in Level 4 <br> and Level 5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JACKSON <br> TOWNSHIP | State | JACKSON <br> TOWNSHIP | State |
| Grade 3 | $-4 \%$ | $-3.4 \%$ | $+9 \%$ | $+4.1 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | $-3 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $+3 \%$ | $+2.4 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $-0 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $+7 \%$ | $+1.7 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $-2 \%$ | $-1.9 \%$ | $+9 \%$ | $+3.5 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $-3 \%$ | $-3.3 \%$ | $+5 \%$ | $+4.7 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $-4 \%$ | $-3.0 \%$ | $+6 \%$ | $+3.6 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | $-11 \%$ | $-8.7 \%$ | $+7 \%$ | $+9.2 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $-11 \%$ | $-7.8 \%$ | $+9 \%$ | $+7.7 \%$ |
| Grade 11* | $-16 \%$ | $+1.1 \%$ | $-12 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ |

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS 3rd Grade <br> ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| CrawfordRodriguez | 6\% | 8\% | 26\% | 19\% | 27\% | 28\% | 38\% | 43\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Elms | 7\% | 8\% | 18\% | 7\% | 31\% | 34\% | 44\% | 47\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| Johnson | 10\% | 8\% | 21\% | 11\% | 35\% | 21\% | 31\% | 53\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Holman | 14\% | 11\% | 24\% | 15\% | 27\% | 37\% | 33\% | 37\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Switlik | 6\% | 9\% | 17\% | 20\% | 36\% | 23\% | 38\% | 45\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Rosenauer | 2\% | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% | 35\% | 15\% | 49\% | 58\% | 4\% | 10\% |


| ichool \% <br> $>=$ Level <br> 4 | School \% <br> $>=$ Level <br> 4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |
| $44 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ |
| $53 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ |

## District Average \% >= 4 for grade 3= 49\% NJ State Average \% >= 4 for grade 3 = 47\%

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS 4th Grade <br> ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) |  | Exceeding <br> Expectations <br> (Level 5) |  | ;chool \% <br> $>=$ Level 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Crawford- <br> Rodriguez | 2\% | 4\% | 20\% | 12\% | 41\% | 31\% | 34\% | 41\% | 3\% | 12\% | 37\% | 53\% |
| Elms | 1\% | 2\% | 14\% | 4\% | 29\% | 39\% | 44\% | 48\% | 12\% | 6\% | 56\% | 54\% |
| Johnson | 6\% | 2\% | 6\% | 12\% | 31\% | 34\% | 52\% | 48\% | 6\% | 6\% | 58\% | 54\% |
| Holman | 9\% | 2\% | 13\% | 12\% | 33\% | 34\% | 39\% | 48\% | 6\% | 4\% | 45\% | 52\% |
| Switlik | 3\% | 4\% | 16\% | 11\% | 31\% | 38\% | 46\% | 42\% | 4\% | 6\% | 50\% | 48\% |
| Rosenauer | 2\% | 2\% | 13\% | 12\% | 30\% | 22\% | 43\% | 53\% | 11\% | 10\% | 54\% | 63\% |

## District Average \% >= 4 for grade 4 = 52\% NJ State Average \% >= 4 for grade 4 = 54\%

Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS 5th Grade <br> ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | Not Yet Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 1) | Partially Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 2) | Approaching <br> Expectations <br> (Level 3) | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) | Exceeding <br> Expectations <br> (Level 5$)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 2015 |
| Crawford- | $4 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $19 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $37 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $38 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $2 \%$ |
| Rodriguez |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Bchool \% |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $>=$Level <br> 4 | School \% <br> $>=$ Level <br> 4 |
| 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| $83 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ |
| $69 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ |
| $52 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ |
| $45 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |
| $57 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |

## District Average \% >= 4 for grade 5 = 49\% <br> NJ State Average \% >= 4 for grade 5 = 53\%

Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

## Two-Year Comparison by Grade - Literacy

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Grade } 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Grade } 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Grade } 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Grade } 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crawford-Rodriguez | 40\% | 53\% | 37\% | 40\% |
| Elms | 44\% | 54\% | 56\% | 65\% |
| Johnson | 35\% | 54\% | 58\% | 65\% |
| Holman | 35\% | 52\% | 45\% | 43\% |
| Switlik | 40\% | 48\% | 50\% | 42\% |
| Rosenauer | 53\% | 63\% | 54\% | 51\% |

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

## Goetz School



# COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY 

CHRISTA MCAULIFFE SCHOOL

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Grade 6 | 9\% | 3\% | 13\% | 19\% | 30\% | 28\% | 44\% | 45\% | 4\% | 4\% | 48\% | 49\% |
| Grade 7 | 10\% | 8\% | 13\% | 9\% | 27\% | 26\% | 36\% | 40\% | 14\% | 17\% | 50\% | 57\% |
| Grade 8 | 14\% | 5\% | 16\% | 18\% | 26\% | 24\% | 39\% | 41\% | 6\% | 12\% | 45\% | 53\% |
|  |  |  | racy |  | evel 4 | District $\%>=$ Lev |  | State \% <br> \% >= Leve |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grade 6 |  | 49\% |  | 60\% |  | 52\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grade 7 |  | 57\% |  | 61\% |  | 57\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grade 8 |  | 53\% |  | 56\% |  | 56\% |  |  |  |  |

COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY JACKSON MEMORIAL

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { School } \% \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Grade 9 | 13\% | 6\% | 16\% | 14\% | 31\% | 36\% | 35\% | 44\% | 5\% | 1\% | 40\% | 45\% |
| Grade 10 | 25\% | 25\% | 24\% | 14\% | 19\% | 26\% | 22\% | 26\% | 10\% | 10\% | 32\% | 36\% |
| Grade 11 | 21\% | 44\% | 23\% | 27\% | 21\% | 19\% | 26\% | 9\% | 10\% | 2\% | 36\% | 11\% |
|  |  |  | racy |  | ol evel 4 | District $\%>=\text { Lev }$ |  | State \% <br> \% >= Leve |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grade 9 |  | 45\% |  | 40\% |  | 48\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grade 10 |  | 36\% |  | 36\% |  | 43\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grade 11 |  | 11\% |  | 19\% |  | 39\% |  |  |  |  |

# COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S <br> COMBINED FALL 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY <br> JACKSON MEMORIAL 

| Literacy | School <br> $\%>=$ Level 4 | State \% $\%$ <br> $\%=$ Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 9 | $53 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $18 \%$ | $39 \%$ |

COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S

## SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY JACKSON LIBERTY

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Grade 9 | 20\% | 13\% | 27\% | 20\% | 27\% | 30\% | 26\% | 32\% | 1\% | 4\% |
| Grade 10 | 37\% | 19\% | 17\% | 22\% | 23\% | 21\% | 20\% | 31\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Grade 11 | 23\% | 31\% | 28\% | 25\% | 24\% | 16\% | 20\% | 22\% | 5\% | 6\% |


| School \% <br> $>=$ Level <br> 4 | School \% <br> $>=$ Level <br> 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| $27 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ |
| $22 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| $25 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ |


| Literacy | School <br> \%>= Level 4 | District \% <br> $\%>=$ Level 4 | State \% <br> $\%>=$ Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 9 | $36 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $28 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $39 \%$ |


| Literacy | School <br> $\%$ \%= Level 4 | State \% <br> $\%=$ Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 9 | $41 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $26 \%$ | $39 \%$ |

## JACKSON SCHOOL DISTRICT'S 2015 PARCC SUBGROUP OUTCOMES ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | Count of <br> Valid Test Scores | Not Yet <br> Meeting <br> (Level 1 ) | Partially Meeting (Level 2 | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) | District \% >= <br> Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 387 | 17\% | 19\% | 34\% | 26\% | 5\% | 31\% |
| Asian | 179 | 5\% | 12\% | 16\% | 50\% | 18\% | 68\% |
| Black | 303 | 20\% | 22\% | 25\% | 30\% | 4\% | 34\% |
| White | 3766 | 9\% | 15\% | 28\% | 41\% | 7\% | 48\% |
| English Learner | 41 | 49\% | 22\% | 22\% | 7\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 881 | 16\% | 22\% | 30\% | 29\% | 3\% | 32\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 610 | 31\% | 31\% | 24\% | 13\% | 1\% | 14\% |

## 2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | Count of <br> Lalidi <br> Test <br> Scores | Not Yet <br> Mecting <br> (Level 1) | Partially <br> Mexting <br> (Level 2) | Approaching <br> Expectations <br> (Level 3) | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) | Exceeding <br> Expectation <br> (Level 5) | 2016 <br> District <br> $\%$ <br> Level 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 470 | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Asian | 166 | $2 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Black | 316 | $15 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| White | 3,850 | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| English Learner | 35 | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 978 | $14 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 685 | $27 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## 2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS/LITERACY

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Count of } \\ \text { Valid } \\ \text { Test } \\ \text { Scores } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Yet } \\ & \text { Meeting } \\ & \text { (Level 1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Partially } \\ & \text { Meeting } \\ & \text { (Level 2) } \end{aligned}$ | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meeting } \\ & \text { Expectations } \\ & \text { (Level 4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { District } \% \text { > } \\ \text { Level } 4}}{2015}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { District } \\ \%>= \\ \text { Level } 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 470 | 12\% | 20\% | 31\% | 30\% | 31\% | 37\% |
| Asian | 166 | 2\% | 10\% | 19\% | 50\% | 68\% | 69\% |
| Black | 316 | 15\% | 22\% | 27\% | 31\% | 34\% | 36\% |
| White | 3,850 | 8\% | 14\% | 27\% | 43\% | 48\% | 52\% |
| English Learner | 35 | 29\% | 29\% | 31\% | 11\% | 7\% | 11\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 978 | 14\% | 22\% | 29\% | 31\% | 32\% | 35\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 685 | 27\% | 33\% | 26\% | 13\% | 14\% | 14\% |

## LITERACY TARGETED INTERVENTIONS

## Elementary

- Building Based PD for Reader's and Writer's Workshop in all 6 schools
- Diagnostic Intervention for all students
- In depth analysis of the Conferring Model
- Exploration of Notice and Note in Fifth Grade
- Targeted and prescriptive use of data for instruction
- Expand ELL program to Holman
- Reading Interventionists
- Instructional Rounds


## Middle School

- Literary Analysis
- Socratic Seminar
- Reading Interventionists
- Targeted and prescriptive use of data for instruction
- Cross Content Integration with Science and Social Studies
- Notice and Note Non-Fiction


## High School

- Authentic Research Project
- Research Simulation Tasks
- Notice and Note Non-Fiction
- Instructional Rounds
- Increase student awareness of importance of PARCC
- Targeted and prescriptive use of data for instruction
- Cross Content Tasks and Articulation with Social Studies and Science Departments


## Mathematics

Mr. Robert Rotante
Director of Curriculum, STEM

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S

 2015-2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS|  | \% Change in Level 1 <br> and Level 2 |  | \% Change in Level 4 <br> and Level 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JACKSON | State | JACKSON | State |  |
| TOWNSHIP |  | TOWNSHIP |  |  |  |
| Grade 3 | $-1 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ | $+10 \%$ | $+6.8 \%$ |  |
| Grade 4 | $-9 \%$ | $-2.5 \%$ | $+6 \%$ | $+5.9 \%$ |  |
| Grade 5 | $-1 \%$ | $-2.3 \%$ | $+5 \%$ | $+6.2 \%$ |  |
| Grade 6 | $-4 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ | $+8 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ |  |
| Grade 7 | $-8 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $+12 \%$ | $+1.9 \%$ |  |
| Grade 8 | $-3 \%$ | $-1.3 \%$ | $+3 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ |  |
| Algebra I* | $-15 \%$ | $-5.0 \%$ | $+12 \%$ | $+5.2 \%$ |  |
| Algebra II | $-6 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $+5 \%$ | $+1.1 \%$ |  |
| Geometry | $-21 \%$ | $+6.3 \%$ | $+7 \%$ | $-4.6 \%$ |  |

[^0]
## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS <br> 3rd Grade <br> MATHEMATICS

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) |  | Exceeding <br> Expectations <br> (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Crawford-Rodriguez | 6\% | 8\% | 17\% | 21\% | 35\% | 27\% | 38\% | 38\% | 3\% | 6\% | 41\% | 44\% |
| Elms | 3\% | 1\% | 14\% | 5\% | 35\% | 22\% | 46\% | 66\% | 2\% | 6\% | 48\% | 72\% |
| Johnson | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 15\% | 41\% | 21\% | 45\% | 52\% | 3\% | 10\% | 48\% | 62\% |
| Holman | 10\% | 10\% | 21\% | 19\% | 29\% | 27\% | 36\% | 40\% | 3\% | 3\% | 39\% | 43\% |
| Switlik | 3\% | 4\% | 15\% | 11\% | 40\% | 39\% | 41\% | 42\% | 1\% | 4\% | 42\% | 46\% |
| Rosenauer | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 10\% | 41\% | 29\% | 43\% | 54\% | 4\% | 7\% | 47\% | 61\% |

## District Average \% >= 4 for grade 3= 53\% NJ State Average \% >= 4 for grade 3 = 52\%

Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS 4th Grade MATHEMATICS

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School } \% \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { School } \% \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Crawford-Rodriguez | 6\% | 1\% | 32\% | 12\% | 33\% | 38\% | 28\% | 41\% | 0\% | 7\% | 28\% | 48\% |
| Elms | 1\% | 2\% | 13\% | 10\% | 33\% | 34\% | 47\% | 47\% | 5\% | 6\% | 52\% | 53\% |
| Johnson | 8\% | 4\% | 13\% | 5\% | 37\% | 41\% | 40\% | 46\% | 2\% | 4\% | 42\% | 50\% |
| Holman | 8\% | 5\% | 23\% | 21\% | 38\% | 31\% | 30\% | 40\% | 1\% | 3\% | 31\% | 43\% |
| Switlik | 4\% | 5\% | 19\% | 14\% | 34\% | 42\% | 41\% | 39\% | 1\% | 1\% | 42\% | 40\% |
| Rosenauer | 2\% | 0\% | 25\% | 24\% | 25\% | 36\% | 49\% | 38\% | 0\% | 2\% | 49\% | 40\% |

## District Average \% >= 4 for grade $4=46 \%$ <br> NJ State Average \% >= 4 for grade 4 = 46\%

Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS

5th Grade
MATHEMATICS

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting <br> Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding <br> Expectations (Level 5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Crawford-Rodriguez | 3\% | 5\% | 22\% | 17\% | 35\% | 33\% | 34\% | 43\% | 7\% | 2\% |
| Elms | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | 33\% | 16\% | 59\% | 66\% | 3\% | 10\% |
| Johnson | 4\% | 3\% | 8\% | 18\% | 33\% | 27\% | 49\% | 42\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Holman | 9\% | 8\% | 22\% | 16\% | 43\% | 46\% | 25\% | 28\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Switlik | 4\% | 3\% | 20\% | 15\% | 38\% | 35\% | 38\% | 44\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Rosenauer | 2\% | 8\% | 16\% | 10\% | 32\% | 32\% | 42\% | 42\% | 8\% | 8\% |


| School \% <br> $>=$ Level <br> 4 | Schoo <br> $1 \%$ <br> $>=$ <br> Level <br> 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| $41 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |
| $62 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ |
| $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| $26 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| $39 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |
| $50 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |

District Average \% >= 4 for grade $5=50 \%$
NJ State Average \% >= 4 for grade $5=47 \%$

## Two-Year Comparison by Grade Mathematics

|  | 2015 <br> Grade 3 | 2016 <br> Grade 4 | 2015 <br> Grade 4 | 2016 <br> Grade 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crawford-Rodriguez | $41 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Elms | $48 \%$ | $53 \%$ |  | $52 \%$ |

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S

SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS - GOETZ SCHOOL


## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS - CHRISTA MCAULIFFE SCHOOL

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding Expectations (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School } \% \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Grade 6 | 7\% | 7\% | 22\% | 17\% | 37\% | 32\% | 31\% | 39\% | 3\% | 6\% | 34\% | 45\% |
| Grade 7 | 7\% | 9\% | 24\% | 16\% | 43\% | 38\% | 25\% | 34\% | 0\% | 2\% | 25\% | 36\% |
| Grade 8 * | 20\% | 13\% | 26\% | 30\% | 32\% | 33\% | 22\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| Algebra I | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 8\% | 9\% | 91\% | 86\% | 0\% | 4\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| Geometry | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 96\% | 85\% | 4\% | 9\% | 100\% | 94\% |
|  |  |  |  | matics | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Sc} \\ \%>= \end{array}$ |  | District \% \% >= Level 4 |  | \% evel 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Grade 6 |  | 45\% |  | 52\% | 43\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Grade 7 |  | 36\% |  | 44\% | 38\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Grade 8 |  | 25\% |  | 24\% | 26\% |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. |  |  | Algebra I |  | 90\% |  | 51\% | 41\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Geometry |  | 94\% |  | 34\% | 27\% |  |  |  |  |

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICTTS SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS

## JACKSON MEMORIAL

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) |  | Exceeding <br> Expectations <br> (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School } \% \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { School } \% \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Algebra I | 15\% | 12\% | 45\% | 28\% | 32\% | 29\% | 8\% | 31\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 31\% |
| Algebra II | 33\% | 26\% | 28\% | 28\% | 19\% | 18\% | 21\% | 25\% | 0\% | 2\% | 21\% | 27\% |
| Geometry | 11\% | 9\% | 40\% | 29\% | 41\% | 43\% | 8\% | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 19\% |
|  |  |  | th |  |  | District $\%>=\text { Lev }$ |  | State <br> \% >= Leve |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | bra 1 |  |  | 51\% |  | 41\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | bra II |  |  | 27\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | metry |  |  | 34\% |  | 27\% |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |

## JACKSON MEMORIAL

| Math | School <br> $\%>=$ Level 4 | State \% <br> $\%>=$ Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra I | $35 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Algebra II | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Geometry | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ |

## COMPARISON OF JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT'S

SPRING 2015 AND SPRING 2016 PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS
JACKSON LIBERTY

|  | Not Yet Meeting Expectations (Level 1) |  | Partially Meeting Expectations (Level 2) |  | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) |  | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) |  | Exceeding <br> Expectations <br> (Level 5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School \% } \\ >=\text { Level } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | School \% $>=$ Leve 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Algebra I | 19\% | 15\% | 58\% | 31\% | 21\% | 31\% | 2\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 23\% |
| Algebra II | 45\% | 49\% | 23\% | 14\% | 10\% | 10\% | 22\% | 27\% | 0\% | 1\% | 22\% | 28\% |
| Geometry | 21\% | 15\% | 42\% | 40\% | 27\% | 38\% | 10\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 7\% |
|  |  |  | ath |  | evel 4 | District <br> \% >= Lev |  | State \% <br> >= Lev |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Algebra 1 |  | 23\% |  | 51\% |  | 41\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Algebra II |  | 28\% |  | 27\% |  | 25\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Geometry |  | 7\% |  | 34\% |  | 27\% |  |  |  |  |

## JACKSON LIBERTY

| Math | School <br> $\%>=$ Level 4 | State \% <br> $\%$ >= Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra I | $20 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Algebra II | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Geometry | $10 \%$ | $27 \%$ |

## JACKSON SCHOOL DISTRICT'S 2015 PARCC SUBGROUP OUTCOMES MATHEMATICS

|  | Count of Valid Test Scores | Not Yet Meeting (Level 1) | Partially Meeting (Level 2) | Approaching Expectations (Level 3) | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) | Exceeding <br> Expectation <br> (Level 5) | 2015 <br> District <br> \% >= <br> Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 408 | 12\% | 31\% | 35\% | 21\% | 1\% | 22\% |
| Asian | 175 | 2\% | 13\% | 20\% | 56\% | 9\% | 65\% |
| Black | 301 | 20\% | 28\% | 30\% | 21\% | 1\% | 22\% |
| White | 3774 | 9\% | 21\% | $32 \%$ | 36\% | 2\% | 38\% |
| English Learner | 46 | 26\% | 39\% | 22\% | 13\% | 0\% | 13\% |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 899 | 14\% | 29\% | $33 \%$ | 22\% | 1\% | 23\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 630 | 29\% | 37\% | 22\% | 12\% | 1\% | 13\% |

## 2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS

|  | Count of <br> Valid <br> Test <br> Scores | Not Yet <br> Meeting <br> (Level 1) | Partially <br> Meating <br> (Level 2) | Approaching <br> Expectations <br> (Level 3) | Meeting <br> Expectations <br> (Level 4) | Exceeding <br> Expectation <br> (Level 5) | 2016 <br> District <br> $\%$ <br> Level 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 467 | $13 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Asian | 170 | $3 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Black | 324 | $19 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| White | 3,852 | $8 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| English Learner | 42 | $17 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 973 | $14 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 733 | $26 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## 2016 SPRING PARCC ADMINISTRATIONS MATHEMATICS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Count of } \\ \text { Valid } \\ \text { Test } \\ \text { Scores } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Yet } \\ & \text { Meeting } \\ & \text { (Level 1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Partially } \\ & \text { Meeting } \\ & \text { (Level 2) } \end{aligned}$ | Approaching <br> Expectations <br> (Level 3) | Meeting Expectations (Level 4) | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{b} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { District } \\ \%>= \\ \text { Level } 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { District } \\ \% \text { >= } \\ \text { Level } 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | 467 | 13\% | 25\% | 33\% | 26\% |  | 22\% | 29\% |
| Asian | 170 | 3\% | 9\% | 19\% | 56\% |  | 65\% | 68\% |
| Black | 324 | 19\% | 26\% | 31\% | 24\% |  | 22\% | 25\% |
| White | 3,852 | 8\% | 16\% | 30\% | 43\% |  | 38\% | 46\% |
| English Learner | 42 | 17\% | 33\% | 31\% | 25\% |  | 13\% | 25\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 973 | 14\% | 25\% | 33\% | 26\% |  | 23\% | 28\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 733 | 26\% | 37\% | 22\% | 13\% |  | 13\% | 14\% |

## MATH TARGETED INTERVENTIONS

## Elementary

- New Envision 2.0 Math Adoption
- Increase in critical thinking and problem solving
- Diagnostic Intervention for all students
- Instructional Rounds

Middle School

- Student centered activities and programs
- Evaluating student work and analyzing errors
- Increase in critical thinking and problem solving
- Math Interventionist at McAuliffe
- Instructional Rounds

High School

- Increase student use in technology (graphing calculators and chromebooks)
- Increase student awareness of importance of taking PARCC
- Increase in critical thinking and problem solving
- Changes in testing administration to allow for more instructional days prior to test
- Instructional Rounds


## RESOURCES FOR PARENTS

■ Information on the new 2015-16 PARCC Student Reports: www.state.nj.us/education/assessment/parcc/scores/
■ Understanding the student score reports (with translations): understandthescore.org/

How Did xxxx Perform Overall?

## Performance Level 3

```
                                    Level 5 Exceeded Expectations
                                    Level }4\mathrm{ Met Expectations
                                    Level }3\mathrm{ Approached Expectations
                                    Level 2 Partially Met Expectations
                                    Level }1\mathrm{ Did Not Yet Meet Expectations
```

Your child's score 745


## QUESTIONS TO GUIDE PARCC DATA REFLECTION

- How will we use PARCC data to identify strengths and gaps that exist in our curriculum and instruction?
- How will we use PARCC data as a tool to address areas in need of improvement or enhancement?
- How can we provide additional resources and support for our educators to meet the learning needs of all our students?


## Overall Goals for Student Achievement

This data is valuable but is only part of our goal to "Improve student learning and student performance for ALL students as measured by multiple and varied assessments." (Strategic Plan Goal \#1)

- PARCC Data
- Other Assessment Data (Scholastic Reading Inventory, Scholastic Math Inventory, SAT, Dynamic Learning Maps, Fountas \& Pinnell Reading Assessment, InView, NJ ASK Science, NJ BCT)
- Regular and focused evaluations
- Department and cross-curricular discussions and feedback
- Instructional Rounds


## Overall Goals for Student Achievement

ALL of our "multiple and varied assessments" GUIDE our decision making and the design of our curriculum, all of which is done COLLABORATIVELY with our talented staff.

For example, we as a district have been focusing on:

- Critical thinking skills vs. strictly fact-based instruction
- Teaching students to read to "make connections" to concepts, information, data (not just to be able to answer comprehension questions).


## Resources Online

This presentation and all data charts will be available online beginning Oct. 19.

## See our "Understanding

 Assessments and Grading" resource page on the Jackson School District website.www.JacksonSD.org


## QUESTIONS and COMMENTS


[^0]:    *Some students in grade 8 participated in the PARCC Algebra I assessment in place of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade Math assessment. Thus, PARCC Math 8 outcomes are not representative of grade 8 performance as a whole.

